

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,834	06/22/2001	Rajiv Jain	M-9351 US	7855
34036	7590 08/19/2003			_
SILICON VALLEY PATENT GROUP LLP 2350 MISSION COLLEGE BOULEVARD SUITE 360 SANTA CLARA, CA 95054			EXAMINER	
			CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D	
SANTA CL	ARA, CA 95054		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	=

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		900			
	plicant(s)	, we			
	JAIN ET AL.				
	Art Unit				
	2816				
he correspondence address					
ITION FOR ALLOWANCE. oplication. A proper reply to a which places the application in timely filed Request for Continued					
in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ate of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
of the et in	I36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
he period set forth in sal of the appeal.					
ch (see NOTE below);				
mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the			
of finally rejected claims.					
as	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
cons	sidered but does No	OT place the			
ELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
	o)⊠ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			

Advisory Action

Application No.	plicant(s)	
09/887,834	JAIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Terry D. Cunningham	2816	
	09/887,834 Examiner	D9/887,834 JAIN ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the

THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this applicant rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment of the condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (4) a timely filed Notice of Ap

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	ıe
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	t
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 4,19 and 20.	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-3,5-18 and 21-25</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. \boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>29 July 2003</u> is a) \boxtimes approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other: <u>Drawing objection has been overcome.</u>	
Terry D. Cunningham Printary Examiner Art Unit: 2816	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although the reference to Chan states that one configuration of the circuit may have better operation than the other, this is not the same as the reference limiting the circuit to the one configuration.